

The Impact of Ethical Practices on Financial Performance in Contemporary Economic Management

Riska Wulandari Sitorus¹, Khairi Permana Siregar¹, Ratih Irma Sari¹

¹Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara

Corresponding Author: Riska Wulandari Sitorus

Email: wulandarihanhe@gmail.com

Article Info

Article History:

Received November 8, 2024

Revised November 21, 2024

Accepted: December 22, 2024

Keywords:

Resistance To Change,
Organizational Change, Factors
Contributing to Resistance,
Communication.

Abstract

This study examines the impact of ethical business practices on financial performance in contemporary economic management, focusing on the role of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) policies and corporate governance structures. Using a quantitative methodology, the research analyzed financial data from firms across multiple industries, employing correlation and regression analyses to determine the relationship between ethical practices and key financial indicators, including Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), Earnings Per Share (EPS), and Net Profit Margin. The results indicate a statistically significant positive correlation between ESG scores and financial performance metrics (ROA: 0.412, ROE: 0.390, EPS: 0.457, Net Profit Margin: 0.431, $p < 0.01$), demonstrating that ethical business conduct enhances profitability. Governance structures also played a crucial role, with the governance index showing the strongest correlation with EPS (0.495, $p < 0.01$), highlighting the financial advantages of corporate transparency and accountability. This study contributes to the literature by addressing methodological gaps in previous research, which often lacked empirical validation of ethical-financial linkages. The findings suggest that ethical business practices are not only a compliance requirement but also a strategic driver of financial success and investor confidence. These insights have important implications for corporate leaders, policymakers, and investors, emphasizing the necessity of integrating ethical governance and ESG principles into corporate strategy. Future research should explore long-term effects and sectoral variations to deepen the understanding of ethical business impacts.

Introduction

Organizations have experienced a substantial transformation in their operational approaches because business ethics integration entered corporate management. Business organizations now understand that ethical practice stands beyond moral duty because it significantly impacts their financial outcomes as well as business durability and stakeholder trust relationships (Trevino & Nelson, 2021). Organizations must link their financial outcomes to ethical considerations since they are required to meet rising consumer standards and regulatory requirements and the demands of corporate social responsibility (CSR) efforts (Carroll, 2021; Aldboush & Ferdous, 2023). A business enterprise adheres to multiple ethical aspects which extend from corporate governance and financial reporting to environmental safety and fair working conditions (Singh & Pillai, 2022; Alaali et al., 2021). The ethical components add value to organizational standing which subsequently impacts operational flow and produces successful financial outcomes (Angelopoulos et al., 2023).

Modern business organizations find their financial achievement profoundly tied to their corporate ethical operations. Repeated ethical violations marked by financial fraud and insider trading coupled with environmental offenses trigger major financial damages like heavy regulatory penalties and share price decreases and reputation downfall (Klaw & Mayer, 2021; Zeng, 2021). The Volkswagen emissions scandal together with the Enron accounting fraud

demonstrates how unethical business actions create major economic losses for companies. Company financial health endures harm through ethical misconduct which creates trust issues with shareholders and market value drops also result in legal confrontation (Weiss, 2021; Trevino & Nelson, 2021). Organizations implementing ethical business practices observe better financial results because they earn higher customer devotion and investor trust together with maintaining regulatory requirements.

Literature exists in great abundance about how ethical practices affect financial performance. The research shows that ethical business operations improve stakeholder connections simultaneously reducing operational risks and producing better financial success (Shiyyab et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2023). Companies focusing on honorable supply chain operations alongside environmental protection draw investors who maintain their investments for longer periods and achieve better business stability (Villena et al., 2021; Schilling et al., 2024). Ethical compliance helps decrease operational risks while enhancing both corporate governance systems and efficiency which produces positive financial outcomes. Describe ethical obligations as create short-term financial challenges for firms (On the other hand some researchers say) Standard ethical compliance demands substantial investments toward sustainable practices and employee welfare and regulatory compliance which might negatively affect current profitability. The long-term data demonstrates that following ethical practices helps businesses develop financial durability and achieve competitive leadership (Waheed & Zhang, 2022).

Environmental Social Governance criteria have elevated the financial implications associated with ethical business conduct as noted by Lee & Suh (2022). Corporate ethics receives increasing attention from investors and financial institutions during investment decision-making which affects stock value and defines investment quotas (Xia et al., 2023). Businesses achieving high marks in ESG performance metrics obtain reduced capital expenses along with stronger monetary performance and elevated shareholder value according to Priem & Gabellone (2024). Business practices that value ethics create content employees who give better performance results to organizations. Employee engagement and motivation increase thus reducing employee turnover and improving productivity because workers view their organizations as ethical.

Researchers commonly evaluate business ethical practices by estimating return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE) in addition to earnings per share (EPS) (Iliemena et al., 2023). Ethical organizations demonstrate superior financial performance through financial metrics compared with competitors because their ethical approach reduces risks and optimizes operational efficiency. Investors trust companies which operate through ethical leadership and adopt transparent governance systems and these businesses achieve profitable financial outcomes. Organizations practicing ethical compliance gain customer loyalty because consumers prefer businesses that match their fundamental values (Park et al., 2022). The way businesses conduct themselves morally shapes customer interactions directly affecting corporate earnings and market cap sizings.

Organizations use corporate governance systems to defend ethical choices while guaranteeing financial transparency according to Ebaid (2022). Offices with independent board members as well as transparent reporting systems as well as anti-corruption policies help create financial stability and strong investor trust. Organizations that maintain ethical governance standards receive better investor interest and reduce financial vulnerabilities and achieve regulatory standards. When ethics are integrated into strategic management decisions this leads to an enhancement of corporate credibility and creates better long-term financial stability.

The study undertakes an analysis of ethical practices' effects on financial performance within contemporary economic management because ethics receive heightened business conduct importance. This study provides quantifiable proof about ethical compliance's impact on financial performance after examining ethical compliance relations with financial outcomes. The analysis of ethical business practices as they relate to fundamental financial indicators such as profitability and revenue growth and investment performance continues to present a knowledge gap which the study wishes to address (Barauskaite et al., 2021). The research adds to ethical finance knowledge bases through its quantitative identification of ethical relationships within business operations.

Ethical business practices represent an indispensable element for achieving sustainable financial growth since Edwards (2021) proved this through research. Organizations that focus on ethics during their decision-making experience enhanced sustainable market stability and profitability and increased positive investor trust (Kaggwa et al., 2024). Long-term financial growth of firms becomes achievable through ethical compliance because stakeholders together with regulatory bodies enforce higher corporate accountability. The research study delivers detailed assessments of financial performance outcomes from ethical business practices to benefit leadership in business operations alongside policymakers and financial market participants.

Method

The research design used quantitative methods to assess financial performance effects from ethical business approaches. This study used correlation analysis to measure how closely ethical compliance relations with primary financial performance indicators. Public companies participating in the research released their ethical policies as well as governance frameworks and corporate social responsibility information to the public.

The examined organizations included publicly traded firms operating within financial services and manufacturing industry sectors along with technology companies. Companies participated in this research through purposive sampling because they made their financial records and ethical compliance reports open to the public. Only 150 firms derived from S&P 500 and FTSE 100 market indices ended up being included in the research final sample. The study omitted firms with major ethical issues which emerged during the past five years to maintain uniform data collection methods.

The analysis used secondary data that stemmed from financial reports together with sustainability reports and corporate governance disclosures which spanned from 2018 to 2023. Three ESG rating agencies MSCI Sustainalytics and Refinitiv ESG Scores provided ethical compliance scores to the researchers. Financial performance data including return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE) and earnings per share (EPS) and net profit margin originated from finance resources at Bloomberg and Yahoo Finance.

The research used ethical business practices measured by both ESG scores and corporate governance indices as its independent variable. The financial performance served as the dependent measure in this analysis through the utilization of ROA, ROE, EPS and net profit margin metrics. Subtitle Control Variables incorporated firm size and leverage ratio together with industry type for reducing external influences which effect financial metrics.

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS and Stata software. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the financial performance and ethical scores of the selected companies. Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between ethical practices and financial performance. Additionally, multiple regression analysis was applied to

determine the extent to which ethical business practices influenced financial performance, controlling for firm size and industry type. The regression model was specified as follows:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \epsilon$$

Where:

- Y represents financial performance (ROA, ROE, EPS, net profit margin)
- X_1 represents ethical business practices (ESG score, corporate governance index)
- X_2 represents firm size (total assets, revenue)
- X_3 represents industry type (dummy variable)
- β_0 is the intercept
- $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ are regression coefficients
- ϵ is the error term

The statistical significance of the model was tested at a 95% confidence level ($p < 0.05$). The results were interpreted based on adjusted R-squared values, beta coefficients, and p-values to assess the predictive power of ethical business practices on financial performance.

To ensure data reliability, financial and ethical scores were cross-validated using multiple independent sources. Cronbach’s alpha test was used to assess the consistency of the ethical compliance data across different ESG rating providers. Construct validity was confirmed through a factor analysis test, ensuring that the selected ethical indicators accurately represented corporate ethical behavior.

Result and Discussion

Economic management focuses intensely on the financial performance versus ethical business practice relationship because organizations now adopt Environmental Social Governance (ESG) principles for strategic planning. Quantitative research findings from this work confirm the financial positive effects of running businesses ethically despite prior academic disagreements about the topic. This study uses Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), Earnings Per Share (EPS) together with Net Profit Margin as financial indicators to investigate how corporate ethics impact success while examining ESG scores along with governance structures to establish their relationship. The researched statistics prove that ethical business operations create significant positive financial results which strengthens the case for using governance standards and ESG management as key profitability and investor trust boosters. This paper meticulously investigates the acquired research results through comprehensive analysis of previous academic works with emphasis on their effects on business strategies.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Financial Performance and Ethical Scores

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Return on Assets (ROA)	6.45%	2.87%	1.32%	12.45%
Return on Equity (ROE)	12.58%	5.92%	3.21%	22.35%
Earnings Per Share (EPS)	3.85	1.76	0.50	7.92
Net Profit Margin (%)	15.32%	4.28%	5.42%	27.10%
ESG Score	67.45	12.83	42.00	89.00
Governance Index	72.91	9.47	50.00	90.00

This table presents the descriptive statistics of key financial performance metrics and ethical business scores. The mean ESG score of 67.45 suggests that most firms in the sample had moderate to high ethical compliance, while the governance index had a slightly higher mean of 72.91.

Table 2. Pearson Correlation Matrix

Variable	ROA	ROE	EPS	Net Profit Margin	ESG Score	Governance Index
ROA	1.000					
ROE	0.721	1.000				
EPS	0.634	0.710	1.000			
Net Profit Margin	0.689	0.721	0.675	1.000		
ESG Score	0.412	0.390	0.457	0.431	1.000	
Governance Index	0.460	0.432	0.495	0.478	0.711	1.000

The Pearson correlation matrix shows a positive correlation between ethical practices (ESG score, governance index) and financial performance indicators. The strongest correlation is between Governance Index and EPS (0.495, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that well-governed firms tend to have higher earnings per share.

Table 3. Multiple Regression Results

Variable	ROA (β)	ROE (β)	EPS (β)	Net Profit Margin (β)
Constant	2.543	5.742	0.921	7.892
ESG Score	0.125	0.118	0.162	0.139
Governance Index	0.148	0.135	0.178	0.154
Firm Size	0.079	0.083	0.092	0.085
Industry Type	0.063	0.056	0.071	0.065
Adjusted R ²	0.482	0.465	0.521	0.497
F-statistic	19.28	17.92	21.65	20.31

The multiple regression analysis shows that ESG scores and governance index have a statistically significant positive impact ($p < 0.01$) on all financial performance indicators. Firm size also had a minor but significant effect, while industry type was not statistically significant. The adjusted R² values indicate that ethical practices explain approximately 48.2% of ROA variance and 52.1% of EPS variance.

Table 4. Reliability and Validity Test Results

Test	ESG Score	Governance Index
Cronbach's Alpha	0.823	0.874
KMO (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin)	0.742	0.768
Bartlett's Test (p-value)	0.000	0.000

The Cronbach's alpha values indicate high internal consistency for both the ESG score (0.823) and governance index (0.874). The KMO values confirm the adequacy of data for factor analysis, and Bartlett's test ($p < 0.01$) confirms that the variables are suitable for principal component analysis.

The research results support a beneficial relationship among moral firm conduct and operational outcomes through measuring ESG scores and governance indexes against ROA, ROE, EPS and net profit margins. This research enhances connected debates about governance

and ethics through statistical examination of direct monetary effects stemming from ethical operations (Elamer & Boulhaga, 2024; Mavlutova et al., 2021). This research supplies quantitative evidence about ethics' financial impact after prior studies mostly used qualitative ethical practice assessment through corporate values and ethical leadership assessments.

The analysis indicates that firms following ethical practices demonstrate superior financial performance according to ROA: 0.412, ROE: 0.390, EPS: 0.457 and Net Profit Margin: 0.431 ($p < 0.01$). ESG policy implementation in companies produces notable financial benefits according Finger & Rosenboim (2022). Research conducted thus far has concentrated mainly on sustainable performance outcomes instead of direct financial indicators that measure performance. The current study bridges this gap through evidence which shows concrete short-term financial benefits from ethical companies thus supporting business investment in ethical businesses.

Results demonstrated that governance structures had the most substantial connection to EPS due to the 0.495 ($p < 0.01$) correlation recorded with the governance index. The research by Rahim et al. (2024) has been reinforced by this discovery which demonstrates that customers develop increased trust and financial success follows improved transparent governance structures. The study provides quantifiable financial evidence to support how strong governance systems result in explicit financial gains.

The financial outcomes linked to ethical business practices yield various results according to previous research studies. The evidence from Lee & Suh (2022) demonstrates inconsistent financial returns based on ESG integration whereas present the argument that ethical firms encounter immediate financial restrictions due to compliance costs. The study removes such existing ambiguities by showing that ethical practice investments lead to better organizational success. The analysis demonstrates that firm financial performance receives significant contribution from both ESG and Governance factors (ESG: $\beta = 0.125$ to 0.162 , Governance: $\beta = 0.135$ to 0.178) at the $p < 0.01$ level after controlling for firm size and industry.

This research presents a significant addition through its strong approach that uses empirical evaluations. Research using case studies together with theoretical models constitutes the main methodology of previous studies according to Mathrani et al. (2021) which limits generalizability of results. This study demonstrates that ethical practices generate financial gains through its quantitative analysis of correlation and regression relationships between variables. The study implements robust methodology to increase its reliability better than previous research which conducted studies without statistical validation.

The research outcomes provide vital application points for corporate executives together with officials in charge of policymaking. Organizations should embrace ethical conduct as a business advantage rather than treat it as necessary compliance since higher ESG ratings connect to stronger financial returns. The market shows a clear preference for companies meeting ESG criteria due to the rising number of sustainable investment funds. Companies which apply robust governance systems alongside ESG principles into their enterprise models will concurrently acquire financial reliability alongside reliable investor relations.

The research findings clearly demonstrate the central position of excellent governance systems for achieving business prosperity. Official organizations should strengthen corporate governance rules to facilitate business practices which integrate financial stability with ethics. The reliable indicators for corporate evaluations can be found in the ESG and governance constructs where Cronbach's alpha scores reached 0.823 and 0.874 respectively.

Conclusion

The investigation establishes an empirical link between high ESG standards and governance organization with financial results which increases ROA, ROE, EPS, and net profit margins. This research contributes to existing knowledge by presenting definite financial evidence about ethical management's profitability which refutes earlier contradictory research findings. This research proves through statistical evidence that ethical management provides benefits beyond moral duty because it functions as a financial performance accelerator and investment trust builder. Organizations focusing on ESG integration with governance excellence will create better financial stability while gaining competitive advantage. The comprehensive evaluation of ethical business effects requires future research to study long-term practical changes across different market sectors.

References

- Alaali, N., Al Marzouqi, A., Albaqaen, A., Dahabreh, F., Alshurideh, M., Mouzaek, E., ... & Aburayya, A. (2021). The impact of adopting corporate governance strategic performance in the tourism sector: A case study in the Kingdom of Bahrain. *J. Legal Ethical & Regul. Issues*, 24, 1.
- Aldboush, H. H., & Ferdous, M. (2023). Building trust in fintech: an analysis of ethical and privacy considerations in the intersection of big data, AI, and customer trust. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 11(3), 90. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs11030090>
- Angelopoulos, S., Bendoly, E., Fransoo, J. C., Hoberg, K., Ou, C., & Tenhiälä, A. (2023). Digital transformation in operations management: Fundamental change through agency reversal. *Journal of Operations Management*, 69(6), 876-889. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joom.1271>
- Barauskaite, G., & Streimikiene, D. (2021). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance of companies: The puzzle of concepts, definitions and assessment methods. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 28(1), 278-287. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2048>
- Carroll, A. B. (2021). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and the COVID-19 pandemic: Organizational and managerial implications. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 14(3), 315-330. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSMA-07-2021-0145>
- Ebaid, I. E. S. (2022). Corporate governance mechanisms and corporate social responsibility disclosure: evidence from an emerging market. *Journal of Global Responsibility*, 13(4), 396-420. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JGR-12-2021-0105>
- Edwards, M. G. (2021). The growth paradox, sustainable development, and business strategy. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(7), 3079-3094. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2790>
- Elamer, A. A., & Boulhaga, M. (2024). ESG controversies and corporate performance: The moderating effect of governance mechanisms and ESG practices. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31(4), 3312-3327. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2749>
- Finger, M., & Rosenboim, M. (2022). Going ESG: The economic value of adopting an ESG policy. *Sustainability*, 14(21), 13917. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142113917>
- Iliemena, R. O., Amedu, M. J. A., & Uagbale-Ekatah, R. E. (2023). Empirical examination of sustainability reporting, return on capital employed and gross profit

- margin. *European Journal of Sustainable Development Research*, 7(1), em0204. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejosdr/12539>
- Kaggwa, S., Eleogu, T. F., Okonkwo, F., Farayola, O. A., Uwaoma, P. U., & Akinoso, A. (2024). AI in decision making: transforming business strategies. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, 10(12), 423-444. <https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2023.1012032>
- Klaw, B. W., & Mayer, D. (2021). Ethics, markets, and the legalization of insider trading. *Journal of business ethics*, 168(1), 55-70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04238-0>
- Lee, M. T., & Suh, I. (2022). Understanding the effects of Environment, Social, and Governance conduct on financial performance: Arguments for a process and integrated modelling approach. *Sustainable Technology and Entrepreneurship*, 1(1), 100004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stae.2022.100004>
- Lee, M. T., & Suh, I. (2022). Understanding the effects of Environment, Social, and Governance conduct on financial performance: Arguments for a process and integrated modelling approach. *Sustainable Technology and Entrepreneurship*, 1(1), 100004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stae.2022.100004>
- Liu, Y., Farooque, M., Lee, C. H., Gong, Y., & Zhang, A. (2023). Antecedents of circular manufacturing and its effect on environmental and financial performance: A practice-based view. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 260, 108866. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2023.108866>
- Mathrani, A., Susnjak, T., Ramaswami, G., & Barczak, A. (2021). Perspectives on the challenges of generalizability, transparency and ethics in predictive learning analytics. *Computers and Education Open*, 2, 100060. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2021.100060>
- Mavlutova, I., Fomins, A., Spilbergs, A., Atstaja, D., & Brizga, J. (2021). Opportunities to increase financial well-being by investing in environmental, social and governance with respect to improving financial literacy under covid-19: The case of Latvia. *Sustainability*, 14(1), 339. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010339>
- Park, J. Y., Perumal, S. V., Sanyal, S., Ah Nguyen, B., Ray, S., Krishnan, R., ... & Thangam, D. (2022). Sustainable marketing strategies as an essential tool of business. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 81(2), 359-379. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajes.12459>
- Priem, R., & Gabellone, A. (2024). The impact of a firm's ESG score on its cost of capital: can a high ESG score serve as a substitute for a weaker legal environment. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 15(3), 676-703. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-05-2023-0254>
- Rahim, M. J., Islam, M. J., Islam, M. N., & Rahim, M. I. I. (2024). Mediating role in industry 4.0 (4IR) adoptions and sustainable corporate financial growth in developed economies. *Journal of Governance and Accountability Studies*, 4(2), 121-145. <https://doi.org/10.35912/jgas.v4i2.2511>
- Schilling-Vacaflor, A., & Gustafsson, M. T. (2024). Towards more sustainable global supply chains? Company compliance with new human rights and environmental due diligence laws. *Environmental Politics*, 33(3), 422-443. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2023.2221983>

- Shiyyab, F. S., Alzoubi, A. B., Obidat, Q. M., & Alshurafat, H. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence disclosure on financial performance. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 11(3), 115. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs11030115>
- Singh, K., & Pillai, D. (2022). Corporate governance in small and medium enterprises: a review. *Corporate Governance: The international journal of business in society*, 22(1), 23-41. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-10-2020-0470>
- Trevino, L. K., & Nelson, K. A. (2021). *Managing business ethics: Straight talk about how to do it right*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Trevino, L. K., & Nelson, K. A. (2021). *Managing business ethics: Straight talk about how to do it right*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Villena, V. H., Wilhelm, M., & Xiao, C. Y. (2021). Untangling drivers for supplier environmental and social responsibility: An investigation in Philips Lighting's Chinese supply chain. *Journal of Operations Management*, 67(4), 476-510. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joom.1131>
- Waheed, A., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Effect of CSR and ethical practices on sustainable competitive performance: A case of emerging markets from stakeholder theory perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 175(4), 837-855. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04679-y>
- Weiss, J. W. (2021). *Business ethics: A stakeholder and issues management approach*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Xia, F., Chen, J., Yang, X., Li, X., & Zhang, B. (2023). Financial constraints and corporate greenwashing strategies in China. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30(4), 1770-1781. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2453>
- Zeng, Y. (2021). *Organising insider dealing in financial markets: scripts and networks*. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom).